BESS Investment Readiness in Germany

A Framework for Institutional Pre-Underwriting of BESS Projects

HardtRock Capital Partners GmbH
Public Paper · July 2026
Andreas Hardt FRICS · Founder & Managing Director
hardtrock.com

1. Investment Thesis: Growth Is Not Investability

Germany's BESS market is attracting developers, strategic partners and institutional capital. A growing pipeline, however, is not yet an investable pipeline. Institutional investors underwrite more than technology and market potential: they require robust rights, documented assumptions, clear risk allocation, governance and an executable transaction path.

The critical gap therefore often sits between project development and capital-market readiness. Grid connection, site control, permitting and technical feasibility are necessary. For an Investment Committee, however, they must be integrated with an auditable revenue stack, a robust financial model, a diligence-ready SPV and documentation structure, and clear Investor Fit.

The HardtRock BESS Investment Readiness Framework provides a shared pre-underwriting logic for this purpose. It is HardtRock's structured working framework—not a self-declared market standard. Its purpose is to identify blockers, remediable gaps and investor-specific structuring questions before institutional outreach begins.

2. Purpose and Boundary

The public scope covers stand-alone and co-located BESS projects in Germany, together with equity and joint venture structures at project or platform level. The Framework organises evidence, maturity, Investor Fit and Execution Timing; it deliberately does not publish weights, thresholds or formulae.

Investment Readiness is not a substitute for legal, technical, commercial, financial or tax due diligence, valuation or an investment decision. It is the upstream institutional filter and the handover model for subsequent diligence and transaction steps.

3. Three Principles

Gates before Scores. Binary investability questions are reviewed before qualitative project quality. An attractive narrative cannot compensate for missing site rights, an insufficiently robust grid connection or an unclear SPV structure.

Evidence before Narrative. Every material statement is mapped to a document, an assumption, an owner and a currency date. Unsupported statements remain findings, even where they appear plausible.

Investor Fit before Outreach. Projects are not labelled generically as “investor-ready”. Risk tolerance, maturity, ticket size, governance, revenue profile and required control rights must fit the relevant source of capital.

Asset Readiness and Process Readiness are assessed separately. Asset Readiness concerns the investability of the project. Process Readiness covers mandate and exclusivity, workstream ownership, data room, Q&A protocol, approvals and the transaction timetable. Commercial process matters are therefore not treated as asset gates.

4. Seven Review Fields

Grid and site – Legal status and timing of the grid connection, technical parameters, site control, easements and interfaces.

Permitting and legal structure – Permitting path, conditions, SPV, ownership, contracts and transferable rights.

Commercial model and revenue stack – Market and contract logic, dispatch assumptions, cannibalisation, degradation, costs and downside cases.

Technical delivery and operations – Technology selection, EPC, warranties, performance, O&M, insurance, availability and spares strategy.

Governance and documentation – Data room, version control, decision rights, reporting, consistency of materials and outstanding evidence.

Investor Fit – Alignment of risk, return, ticket, hold period, governance and structure with a specific capital strategy.

Regulatory Timing – Financial Close, COD, regulatory transitions, grid and permitting deadlines, and adequate schedule buffer.

The external output is not a public aggregate score. It comprises a readiness classification for each review field, evidence status, finding category and a prioritised work programme.

5. From Finding to Work Programme

Structural blocker – A core requirement is missing or insufficiently robust. Institutional outreach should not begin until there is a solution or an expressly accepted alternative structure.

Remediable gap – The requirement is achievable in principle, but a document, analysis, decision or proof point is missing. The finding receives an owner, due date and expected evidence.

Pricing or structuring issue – The risk cannot be removed fully, but must be modelled transparently, allocated and translated into price, governance or contractual structure.

All findings remain in a continuous register until they are closed by evidence, expressly accepted as residual risk or carried into transaction documentation. This turns screening into an auditable workstream rather than a one-off checklist. AI-supported tools may triage documents, evidence and consistency; judgement and sign-off remain human and mandate-specific.

6. Investor Fit and Joint Venture Structures

Strategic or operating JV partners often contribute development, optimisation or operational capability in addition to capital. Value-Add infrastructure capital may accept greater development, merchant and execution risk. Core+ capital typically requires more permitting, construction and revenue certainty. Insurance and pension capital prioritises low volatility, robust governance and proximity to operations or stabilised cash flows. Family Offices and Private Capital may be flexible and fast, but differ in scalability and platform capability.

These categories are not a ranking. What matters are an investor's specific evidence standards, risk tolerance, maturity requirements and control rights. Investor Fit is therefore tested before outreach and again before the shortlist is agreed.

7. Regulatory Timing as an Underwriting Variable

For German BESS projects, regulation is a project-specific model and schedule parameter, not a generic investment argument. The German Federal Network Agency's orientation paper of 16 January 2026 states that the network-charge exemption under section 118(6) EnWG is currently time-limited to 4 August 2029; the Agency may also introduce alternative rules and transition periods. The AgNes interim position communicated in May 2026 is expressly preliminary.

Robust underwriting therefore records the legal position at the valuation date, models base and downside cases and defines an update mechanism. At a minimum, it answers: When are Financial Close and COD realistically achievable? Which dependencies are on the critical path? What buffer remains against regulatory or economic cut-off risks?

8. From Investment Readiness to Closing

Investment Readiness → M&A/JV Structuring → investor-specific due diligence → Transaction Management → Closing

The core process principle is simple: no finding is lost. Evidence, assumptions, decisions and responsibilities remain in a consistent source of truth. Readiness does not guarantee Closing; it reduces avoidable friction, makes residual risks decision-ready and creates a clear path for Investment Committee review and contract negotiation.

9. External Access Logic

Tier 1 – This public paper explains the principles, review fields, process and boundary.

Tier 2 – Qualified one-to-one discussions may include a redacted methodology view and anonymised output examples.

Tier 3 – Weights, thresholds, the full assessment logic and the project-specific findings register remain available only under a paid mandate.

HardtRock invites selected institutional investors, BESS developers and technical, legal and commercial advisers to a closed Calibration Round. The discussion focuses on evidence standards, risk tolerances, maturity requirements and recurring documentation gaps—not on publishing proprietary assessment logic.

About HardtRock Capital Partners

HardtRock is a Berlin-based institutional equity and joint venture advisory boutique. For BESS mandates, HardtRock connects Investment Readiness, structuring, investor-specific due diligence, targeted capital outreach and Transaction Management through to Closing.

Founder Andreas Hardt FRICS has 25 years of experience and has been involved in transactions exceeding €13 billion. Previous roles include senior Germany positions at Blackstone PM and Oxford Properties and Director Central Region at Goldman Sachs.

Contact: Andreas Hardt FRICS · andreas@hardtrock.com · hardtrock.com

SOURCES AND DATE

As at 20 July 2026. German Federal Network Agency: orientation paper on storage network charges, 16 January 2026; AgNes interim position, 27 May 2026. HardtRock: company and team profile. Regulatory statements are date-specific and require project-level verification.